

MICHAEL S. OVERING
COMM 422 Legal Issues & New Media
SPRING 2006

Telephone 626-564-8600(v)
Fax 626-577-9400
Email movering@digitalmedialaw.com

ACADEMIC INTEGRITY - SCHOOL OF COMMUNICATION

The Annenberg School for Communication is committed to upholding the University's Academic Integrity code as detailed in the Scampus guide. It is the policy of the School of Communication to report all violations of the code. Any serious violations or pattern of violations of the Academic Integrity Code will result in the student's expulsion from the Communication major or minor.

ADA COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

"Any student requesting academic accommodations based on a disability is required to register with Disability Services and Programs (DSP) each semester. A letter of verification for approved accommodations can be obtained from DSP. Please be sure the letter is delivered to me (or to TA) as early in the semester as possible. DSP is located in STU 301 and is open 8:30 a.m. – 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday. The phone number for DSP is (213) 740-0776."

Office Hours: ASC 227: Wednesdays 1:00 - 2:00 pm, and by appointment. I am available by telephone, fax and internet.

<u>Week</u>	<u>Topic</u>	<u>Reading</u>
1	Course Introduction	None
2	Introduction to the Regulatory Environment	Lecture
3-4	The Broadcast model of regulation:scarcity/obscenity	Readings: <u>Red Lion v. FCC</u> , (1969); <u>Miami Herald v. Tornillo</u> , (1974); <u>Miller v. California</u> , (1973); <u>F.C.C. v. Pacifica Foundation</u> , (1978)
4	The regulation of violent images	Readings: <u>Olivia N. v. F.C.C.</u> , (1981); <u>V-chip (Telecommunications Act of 1996)</u>

5	Regulation, Deregulation and Re-Regulation of Cable Television	
	A. Federal Jurisdiction and Preemption	<u>Capital Cities Cable v. Crisp</u>
	B. Federal Regulation of Programming on Cable	<u>Turner Broadcasting v. FCC</u> , (1994); <u>Home Box Office v. FCC</u> , (D.C. Cir. 1977); <u>Malrite T.V. v. FCC</u> , (2d Cir. 1981); <u>United Video, Inc. v. F.C.C.</u> , (D.C. Cir. 1989); <u>Time Warner Entertainment v. F.C.C.</u> , (1996);
	C. State Regulation of Cable	<u>Omega Satellite Productions v. city of Indianapolis</u> , (7 th Cir. 1982); <u>Loretto v. Teleprompter</u> , (U.S. Supreme Court 1982); <u>Berkshire Cable of Rhode Island v. Burke</u> , (D.R.I. 1983)
	D. The Re-regulation of Cable	<u>Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992</u> ; <u>The Telecommunications Act of 1996</u>
6 – 7	The regulation of incitement and hate speech on the Internet	<u>Schenck v. United States</u> , (1919); <u>Brandenburg v. Ohio</u> , (1969); <u>McCullum v. CBS</u> , (1988); <u>Planned Parenthood</u>

12 – 13	Spamming; Liability of Internet Service Providers for Copyright/Trademark Infringement	<u>Cyberpromotions, Inc. v. America Online</u> , (U.S. Dist. Ct. 1996); <u>Playboy Enterprises, Inc. v. Frena</u> , (U.S. Dist. Ct. 1993); Fonovisa;
14	Liability of Internet Service Providers for Defamation	<u>Stratton Oakmont v. Prodigy</u> , (1995) <u>Cubby v. Compuserve</u> , (1991) <u>Zeron v. America Online</u> , (1997)
15	Jurisdiction and the limits of “justice”	<u>Junger v. Daley</u> , (U.S. Dist. Ct. 1998) <u>Cybersell, Inc. v. Cybersell, Inc.</u> , (1997) <u>Fernandez v. McDaniel Controls, Inc.</u> , (1998) <u>Graham Technology Solutions v. Thinking Pictures, Inc.</u> , (1997)